

ALC Criteria and Expectations – ICANN 56 - Helsinki

ALS Criteria – DT-C

- No change in current self-funding, largely individual led criteria
- Multiple contacts identified (primary and two secondary?). Same as applicant?
- Contacts do not need to be organization leaders, but application must have leadership knowledge and support (confirmation by applicant - with contact info for possible verification something more formal?)
- Ongoing contact must have ability to communicate with organization membership (linked to operational expectation to re-distribute information from Staff and RALO).
 - Methodology to be used described in application
- Minimum size not specified, but expected to be greater than just contacts and/or organization leadership (judgement call needed to decide if appropriate in individual case).
- Must explain intersection between interests of organization and ICANN.
- Have an online presence (web, Facebook). (Should ICANN provide a basic one?)
- Certification - understanding of Commitments and Expectations
- If a member of other ICANN constituency, restrict voting? If yes, adjust ALS count for vote quorum? To be discussed under “Dual Membership” Agenda item
- Cross-Region ALS – Belong to region where headquartered, or member plurality (?) (at time of application?) Bylaw implications.

Notes for: Application Process – DT-A

- Membership in other ICANN constituency
- What expertise will ALS bring to At-Large (the ALS as a whole, or individuals within the ALS)
- Optional Reference (ALS Rep, other At-Large Participant, Other ICANN person)

Operational Expectations – DT-E

Definite ALS Expectations

- Report **annually/bi-annually** on contacts, leadership, membership, major activities and "linkages" with ICANN (ie why they are an ALS). To the extent practical, this reporting process will be streamlined and easy. Failure to report to be followed up by **RALO/staff?** Failure
- Redistribute regular ICANN updates to its members (these updates will be created by staff but might be augmented by the RALO)
- Reference ICANN and At-Large prominently on its website (or Facebook or whatever), either on its home page, or on a secondary page which is obviously linked to the home page (such as a pointer for group memberships or affiliations).
- When specific issues are brought to the attention of ALSes, each ALS should evaluate whether they can contribute or not, and if they can ALS members should be involved.
- Respond to specific requests from the RALO as needed.

Possible At-Large-wide ALS Expectations and Related Issues

- **Need to move from presumption that the contact is the ALS. And if so, how do we even recognize ALS member participation?**
- Voting, but de-emphasized – should not be the major criteria for being “active”. **(What does it mean to not be a MAJOR factor)**
- **Monthly RALO Teleconference participation (at some reasonable level, need to define participation: presence or activity) Note that meeting attendance is a matter for an individual, and not the entire ALS. Does and “apology” count?**
- Grace period for ramping up involvement **(1 year?)**
- Some substantive participation (webinars, WGs, regional statements)? Note: Individuals vs the ALS.
- Is being a Watcher sufficient?
- Define “inactive” status and implications
- Of the above, perhaps **SOME combination**, or some specific presence is ok. i.e. Flexibility
- How do we differentiate between an active single person who is active, and an active ALS?
- 100% certainty is not a target.
- Perhaps as a requirement for GA/Summit travel if not continued membership.
- Do we measure activity “on the ground”. Is it sufficient if it is very active but the activity is unconnected to ICANN?

RALO Expectations

(largely driven by MoUs)

- In conjunction with At-Large Staff, disseminate information on ICANN, its activities and its topical issues.
- Work with At-Large Staff and representatives of other RALOs to improve our ability to provide useful and critical information to ALSes and Individual Members (if applicable).
- Develop methodologies applicable to the region to facilitate and encourage feedback from and interactions with, the ALSes, their members and Individual Members (if applicable) within their region.
- Consolidate feedback to allow it to be incorporated into ALAC policy statements.
- Develop a program to effectively mobilize Individual Members within the region.

Staff Expectations

- Monthly “What’s Going On”
- Briefing documents on new activities of potential interest
- Tracking where expectations have metrics
- Welcome webinars