1) COMMITMENTS

a. Does the applicant commit to supporting individual Internet users' informed participation in ICANN?

YES: ☒  NO: ☐

b. Does the applicant agree to assist the RALO in performing its function?

YES: ☒  NO: ☐

2) GOVERNANCE

c. Which geographical area(s) are the applicants membership drawn from?

Difficult to say from their website or ALS application. However, given that the organization conducts its business in English, French, German, Russian, it appears to have a European-wide membership. Also, one of TaC’s objectives is to: Inform the population in France and abroad about the dangers of cybercrime.

d. Is the applicant constituted so that participation by individual Internet users who are citizens or residents of countries within the geographic region in which the ALS is based control the organisation?

YES: ☐  NO: ☒

Please explain the answer and the source material, with URLs, for the response:

e. Does the applicant really have individual members?
YES: ☒  NO: ☐

Provide any references used to answer the question here:

In their application, it states that the organization is “open for all individuals”. It also notes that their membership is divided into several different types as follows: Founder members-8; Observer members-12; Advisory members-10; Partners: 35. These different types all seem to be individuals.

If YES on (c) above, are these members active, or do they mostly just sign up and delegate to their leaders?

ACTIVE: ☐  DELEGATE: ☐

f. Does the applicant have organizations as members?

YES: ☐  NO: ☒

IF YES: Provide details about the nature, type, and number of such members:

According to their application, they do not currently have organizations as members. However, there is no certainty as pertains to future membership.

IF YES: Provide information about the control they exert over the organisation, whether or not the organizational members are themselves predominantly organised for the benefit of individual internet users.

g. Does the applicant have governmental bodies or quasi-governmental bodies as members?

YES: ☒  NO: ☐

IF YES: Provide details about the nature, type, and number of such members. In particular, describe whether or not the nature of these members is congruent with the Guidelines for Evaluating ALS Applications as regards the Second Criterion, and how you arrived at your judgment in this regard:

The bylaws allow this. However, there is no certainty in terms of current membership.

h. Does the applicant permit additional participation by others that is compatible with the interests of the individual Internet users within the
region?

YES: X  NO: 

Please explain the answer and the source material, with URLs, for the response:

The bylaws allow this. However, there is no certainty in terms of current membership.

i. Is the applicant self-supporting? (i.e. will not rely on ICANN for funding).

YES: X  NO:

How is the organization funded and what source material or information did you rely on to make your judgment?

According to Article 10 of TAC’s Bylaws, the organization gains support from the following sources:

- membership fees,
- voluntary financial contributions of founder members,
- subsidies from public or private institutions,
- income from the association’s activities,
- income from assets,
- any other resources authorized by law;

j. Does the applicant post on the Internet current information about their goals, structure, description of constituent group(s)/membership, working mechanisms, leadership, and contact(s)?

YES:  NO: X

If YES, please provide the URLs where you found the information (preferably specific to the question, not simply the homepage URL):
k. Do the membership, leadership and internal mechanisms described in the application correspond to those exposed on the website?

YES: ☐  NO: ☒

If ‘YES’, how and where are the references?

l. How does the applicant appear to be funded?

Outline funding sources:

According to Article 10 of TAC’s Bylaws, the organization gains support from the following sources:

- membership fees,
- voluntary financial contributions of founder members,
- subsidies from public or private institutions,
- income from the association’s activities,
- income from assets,
- any other resources authorized by law;

Where / what sources did you use to find the answers to this question?

The application from TaC.

m. Does the funding mechanism show dependence on other interest groups (i.e. industry, government)?

YES: ☐  NO: ☒

If YES, please provide some further information on what sources you can identify:

According to Article 10 of TAC’s Bylaws, the organization gains support from the
following sources:

- membership fees,
- voluntary financial contributions of founder members,
- subsidies from public or private institutions,
- income from the association’s activities,
- income from assets,
- any other resources authorized by law;

If ‘YES’, please clarify if possible whether the sources are likely to influence the governance of the organization (for example, a grant from a charity or foundation may well be free of ‘strings’ of this kind, where corporate donations in some cases might not be):

n. **Are the leaders of the applicant organization involved in other organizations or entities?**

YES: X  NO: □

If ‘YES’, please reference the sources for this answer:

Vice-President: Dr. Prof. Théo HASSLER is a member of Ceipi’s research center. He has been a Professor since 1989 and with the Ceipi since 1998.

Previously he practiced law in a private firm and specialized in Intellectual Property. He is still an adviser in that field. He taught in several Universities: Reims, Metz, Paris 13, and the Université de Haute Alsace (UHA) where he managed the law faculty for 6 years. He participated in the European exchange program Erasmus, and he was a visiting Professor in Fribourg Université (Switzerland). Since 1990 he has been a member of the Conseil Supérieur de l’Audiovisuel in France. (Source: [http://www.ceipi.edu/index.php?id=7546&L=2](http://www.ceipi.edu/index.php?id=7546&L=2)).

Secretary: Ms Yuliya MORENETS is a Representative of TaC- Together against Cybercrime, a non-profit making organisation against cybercrime. The organisation is a gathering point and a centre for the dissemination of information and expert advice. Ms
Morenets has been working for a long time now with the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime, as a Consultant and Deputy Head of the Unit on cybercrime and organised crime within the Economic Crime Division of the Council of Europe. Ms Morenets is a Council of Europe expert for the questions related to good governance at the local level; she is an external expert for the Council of Europe Working Group on Cross-border Internet. Ms Morenets is a EuroDIG (European Dialogue on Internet Governance) core team member. She is a Lecturer at Strasbourg University. Ms Morenets is an Advisory Committee (CRE) Bureau Member within the Strasbourg City Hall where she coordinates a special Working Group “Internet Governance at the local level”. She is graduate from the Institute of Political Sciences of Paris and from the Faculty of Law of Strasbourg University. (Source: http://www.intgovforum.org/cms/index.php/component/chronocontact/?chronoformname=2011PanelistBioView&wspid=437).

If YES were they already involved in Internet governance issues in the past, and in which role?

YES: X   NO: □

If YES, role(s):

Experts in European organizations, active in EuroDIG, and academic research.

Is the mission / policy statement actually related to ICANN / Internet governance issues / advocating users’/consumers interests?

YES: X   NO:

If YES, include mission/policy statement, and reference the source:

Their website states: “The main goal of this organisation is to fight eCrimes at an individual level.”

In addition, their applications states:

-Inform the population in France and abroad about the dangers of cybercrime, adopt a distinctive approach for private individuals (both natural and legal persons) and professionals (both natural and legal persons; representatives from the industrial sector and small and medium sized companies, and also from the public sector and associations);
- Provide support to citizens in their secured and safe use of new technologies in all spheres of their lives: as employees from the public and private sectors, as founders and managers of companies, in their role as parents, during their free time and otherwise;

- Conduct specialised actions adapted to children (persons who are not major), in order to inform and warn them about e-dangers and e-crimes.

- Serve as a base for gathering information of all kinds on cyber crime and the fight against cybercrime. This information can come from various sources: researchers and academics, lawyers and others from the legal sector, representatives of the public sector and government, security engineers and specialists in cryptology, IT technicians, sociologists and psychologists working on the subject, representatives of international organisations, elected representatives and others;

- Propose actions of communication and information with the aim of fighting cyber crime;

- Propose courses related to the prevention and fight against cyber crime;

- Propose actions of advice and expertise related to the prevention and fight against cyber crime;

- Cooperate with associations and institutions working in the field of new technologies and combating cyber crime;

- Manage its own programmes or participate in programmes developed by third parties in order to achieve or pursue its objectives.
Therefore, TaC works in the area of Security, Stability and resiliency of the Internet at the level of the individual/end-user.

3) INTERNET PRESENCE

a. Is the contact information for the organization and its contact person complete and reasonable?

   YES: ☒ NO: ☐

   Does the listed URL work?

   YES: ☒ NO: ☐

   Does the website seem to be regularly updated?

   YES: ☐ NO: ☒

   Does the website have online discussion forums?

   YES: ☐ NO: ☒

   If archives are publicly available, do these forums show signs of recent activity (in the last few months)?

   YES: ☐ NO: ☒

   If public, are they kept up to date?

   YES: ☐ NO: ☒

4) ADDITIONAL DUE DILIGENCE

a. How active and well known is the applicant organization?

   Number of results with a Google search for “Ensemble contre la Cybercriminalité”: 18,500.
Number of results with a Google search for “Together Against Cybercrime”: 18,300.

Number of results for a Google search of organisation in quotes: 330 (with the name Morenets);

Additional information if any: Veni Markovski, Oksana Prikhodko and others know Yuliya from previous years. TaC was a participant and organizer of an IGF 2010 workshop.

b. **Were you familiar with this applicant before the applicant applied to become an ALS?**

YES: ☒ NO: ☐

If ‘YES” please provide some detail of your previous knowledge:

From Veni Markovski: See point 4.a.above. Yulia is very active, but it is unclear what her organization role is, as there are no visible signs of the other participants/founding members/leadership. She has participated at events in Hungary, France, Latvia (IGF), Serbia (EuroDIG), WSIS Forum, etc. But searching for the names of the other members of the governing body does not reveal any results except this application.

**What kind of issues does it focus on mostly?**

Outline focus issues:

- Has the applicant set up any event, meeting, or real-life activity pertaining to Internet governance issues, or other issues related to the interests of individual users?

  YES: ☒ NO:

  Additional information if any: It was the organizer of a workshop at the 2010 IGF, but given the question, it is also possible to respond no, as the workshop was not set up by TaC.

- Has the applicant been active in international, regional or national Internet governance issues?

  ACTIVE: ☒ INACTIVE: ☐

  If Active, please provide details of activities or reference URLs for the same:
Yuliya has been active – see above.