

# IFRT Plenary Meeting #17

[IFRT PLENARY MEETING](#), 02 September 2020 *RT Members: If you have corrections, please email Amy at [amy.creamer@icann.org](mailto:amy.creamer@icann.org)*

## AGENDA

1. Welcome
2. Agenda Bashing
3. Administrative Items
4. Discuss Initial Report
  - a. Kim to respond to CCOP questions
  - b. Review recommendations
  - c. Determine priority and re-ordering of the recommendations
5. Session during ICANN69

### Discussion on Recommendations

#### **1. Recommendation on Publishing “past” CCOP Test Results**

It was determined to remove this requirement from the Initial Report for the following reasons:

- since the contract states annual testing only “as necessary”, it doesn’t mean it’s required yearly:

**Section 5.2(b)**

..... Contractor in collaboration with ICANN shall from time to time update and annually test the CCOP as necessary to maintain the security and stability of the IANA Naming Function. ....

- There has been only 1 test so far, from 2019, which was posted per the contract's requirements
- ICANN agreed with PTI to not do annual testing prior to 2019 because there had been no changes to the CCOP which would require re-testing. PTI had limited staff resources, and so did not consider testing to be a high priority or useful.

## **2. Recommendation on improving IANA related user materials**

It was determined to remove this requirement from the Initial Report for the following reasons:

- Fred revisited the recording of the sub-call meeting that included this topic and determined that by the end of the meeting they had decided that PTI met the contract requirement and they did not have specific improvements to suggest.
- If any IFRT members have some specific recommendations regarding user materials, Kim welcomed receiving it and PTI would work on improvements without needing a formal Recommendation to do so

## **3. Recommendation on designating an entity in charge of policy for .int**

It was determined that re-writing is necessary to provide more clarity for what actions the IFRT expects. Peter and Suzanne will discuss through email to suggest new text.

All other Recommendations remained the same with the same priority levels assigned. The Recommendations were re-ordered according to priority level.

## **NEXT STEPS**

1. Due to removal of Recommendations during this meeting, we will do a 3<sup>rd</sup> reading during Plenary #18, 15 Sept

2. Need to submit to Public Comment 2-3 weeks in advance of ICANN69 if we want to hold a session. Recommend we publish between Sept 18 – 25
3. ICANN69 will hold a session for community comments
4. Public Comment is 45 days, so should end Nov 20 -27<sup>th</sup>
5. ICANN prepares a comment report
6. IFRT utilizes comments for completing their Final Report
7. IFRT submits Final Report to the Board – target by 11 Dec 2020
8. Board submits Final Report to Public Comment – Public Comment would end around end of Jan 2021
9. IFRT may need to assemble a small group of volunteers to answer any remaining questions the Board may have.

IFRT should also hold a post-mortem call to provide advice for the next IFR

## Action Items

- Peter and Suzanne will propose new language for the Recommendation on .int

## Decisions Reached

- Removed the Recommendation on Publishing “past” CCOP Test Results. It was pointed out there was only a single set of test results from 2019 because the contract only called for annual testing if necessary. ICANN & PTI were satisfied not to do annual testing because there had been no changes to the CCOP that would require it. PTI did post the 2019 test results within 90 days.
- Removed Recommendation on improving IANA related user materials  
It was determined to remove this requirement from the Initial Report because on re-reviewing the materials and the sub-group call which covered this subject it was determined that the materials met the contract requirements and there were no other major issues with it.

- Recommendation on designating an entity in charge of policy for .int

It was determined that re-writing is necessary to provide more clarity for what actions the IFRT expects. Peter and Suzanne will discuss through email to suggest new text.