

EPDP Team Phase 2 – Consensus Designations

Introduction

As described in the accompanying email, below is the Chair’s designation as to the level of Consensus on each recommendation in the EPDP Team Final Report.

Also, the GNSO Guidelines for working group decision making are included below the table for your reference.

Recommendation #	Chair Proposed Designation	Groups not supporting recommendation or part thereof
#1 Accreditation	Full consensus	
#2 Accreditation of Governmental Entities	Full consensus	
#3 Criteria and Content of Requests	Full consensus	
#4 Acknowledgement of receipt	Full consensus	
#5 Response Requirements	Consensus Full consensus	GAC – The failure to deal with data accuracy requirements under the GDPR calls into question whether this recommendation achieves its intended purpose.
#6 Priority Levels	Strong support but significant opposition	GAC (Does not support 6.2) BC (Does not support 6.2) IPC (Does not support 6.2)
#7 Requestor Purposes	Consensus	RySG (Does not support iii ‘obligations applicable to DSPs’)
#8 Contracted Party Authorization	Full Consensus	GAC – The failure to deal with data accuracy requirements under the GDPR calls into question whether this recommendation achieves its intended purpose; GAC also objects to 8.17 and lack of requirement to revise the balancing test and factors considered in this section to address: 1) applicable case law interpreting GDPR, 2) guidelines issued by the EDPB, or 3) revisions to GDPR or other applicable privacy

			laws that may occur in the future.
#9	Automation of SSAD Processing	Consensus	IPC BC
#10	Determining variable SLAs for response times for SSAD	Consensus	RrSG (Does not support SLA for Urgent Requests)
#11	SSAD Terms and Conditions	Full Consensus	
#12	Disclosure Requirements	Full Consensus	GAC – The failure to deal with data accuracy requirements under the GDPR calls into question whether this recommendation achieves its intended purpose
#13	Query Policy	Full Consensus	
#14	Financial Sustainability	Full Strong support but significant opposition Consensus	GAC – The text and footnotes are inconsistent and literal application of text in 14.2 may result in unintended adverse consequences to public safety and the security of the DNS by creating a system that users can't afford). We think other SG's share this concern.
#15	Logging	Full Consensus	
#16	Audits	Full Consensus	
#17	Reporting Requirements	Full Consensus	
#18	Review of implementation of policy recommendations concerning SSAD using a GNSO Standing Committee	Strong support but significant opposition	ALAC BC IPC GAC
#19	Display of information of affiliated privacy / proxy providers	Full Consensus	GAC – Our consensus is conditioned on the completion of the PPSA/ Implementation [we attempted to resolve the remaining issue prior to the Consensus Designations but did not hear back by the COB]
#20	City Field	Full Consensus	
#21	Data Retention	Full Consensus	
#22	Purpose 2	Consensus	NCSG