**SCOPE OF IDENTIFIERS:**

Identifier - The full name or acronym used by the organization seeking protection; its eligibility is established by an approved list or a set of eligibility criteria.

Scope – the limited list of eligible identifiers used to distinguish an identifier by its type (name or acronym) or by additional designations as agreed upon and indicated in the text below; may also include lists approved by the GAC (where this is the case it is expressly indicated as such in the text below).

**A - RED CROSS RED CRESENT MOVEMENT (RCRC) RECOMMENDATIONS:**

| **#** | **Recommendation** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * + **Scope 1 Identifiers**: "Red Cross", "Red Crescent", "Red Lion and Sun" and "Red Crystal" (Language: UN6)
	+ **Scope 2 Identifiers**: 189 recognized National Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies; International Committee of the Red Cross; International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies; ICRC, CICR, CICV, MKKK, IFRC, FICR (Language: in English, as well as in their respective national languages; ICRC & IFRC protected in UN6)
 |
| **1** | Top-Level protections of Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement*  are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation" | AG: Can live with (but don’t think it is necessary)CAS: Support |
| **2** | For RCRC Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level | AG: Can live withCAS: Support  |
| **3** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement  | AG: SupportCAS: Support but for IDNs sake, should this not be for variants as well? |
| **4** | For RCRC identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Second-Level | AG: SupportCAS: Support but see reservation above. |
| **5** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH) | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **6** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Acronym Scope 2 identifiers of the *Red Cross Red Crescent Movement* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse | AG: SupportNumber 5 &6 seem to be the same. Did we mean to distinguish Scope 1 and Scope 2 Identifiers in this context? |
| **7** | RCRC Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in 90 Day Claims Notification phase of each new gTLD launch | AG: SupportCAS: OK. But in the case of IDNs would variants apply here? |

**B - INTERNATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEE (IOC) RECOMMENDATIONS:**

| **#** | **Recommendation** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * + **Scope 1 Identifiers**: olympic, olympiad (Language: UN6, + German, Greek, and Korean)
 |
| **1** | Top-Level protections of Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Olympic Committee* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation" | AG: NoCAS: Support |
| **2** | For IOC Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level | AG: NoCAS: Support |
| **3** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Olympic Committee* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement  | AG: No (since exceptions for other orgs not mentioned)CAS: Support |
| **4** | For IOC identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Second-Level | AG: NoCAS: Support |

**C - INTERNATIONAL GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (IGO) RECOMMENDATIONS:**

| **#** | **Recommendation** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * + **Scope 1 Identifiers**: GAC List - Full Name (Language: Up to two languages)
	+ **Scope 2 Identifiers**: GAC List - Acronym (Language: Up to two languages)
 |
| **1** | Top-Level protections of Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation" | AG: Can live with CAS: Support |
| **2** | For IGO Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level | AG: Can live with CAS: Support |
| **3** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement  | AG: Can live with CAS: Support |
| **4** | For IGO identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Second-Level | AG: Can live with CAS: Support |
| **5** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Acronym Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **6** | IGO Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in 90 Day Claims Notification phase of each new gTLD launch | AG: SupportCAS: Support but in case of IDN, what of the variants? |

**D - INTERNATIONAL NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (INGO) RECOMMENDATIONS:**

| **#** | **Recommendation** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * + **Scope 1 Identifiers**: Ecosoc List (General Consultative Status) (Language: TBD)
	+ **Scope 2 Identifiers**: Ecosoc List (Special Consultative Status) (Language: TBD)

**\*\*\*Note, this list of Identifiers are INGOs other than the RCRC and IOC****SEE http://csonet.org/content/documents/E2011INF4.pdf** |
| **1** | Top-Level protections of Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in the Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation" | AG: Can live withCAS: Support |
| **2** | For INGO Identifiers, if placed in the Applicant Guidebook as ineligible for delegation, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Top-Level | AG: Can live withCAS: Support |
| **3** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement  | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **4** | For INGO identifiers, if placed in Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, an exception procedure should be created for cases where a protected organization wishes to apply for their protected string at the Second-Level | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **5** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Full Name Scope 1 (unless otherwise protected) & Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH) | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **6** | Second-Level protections of only Exact Match, Acronym Scope 1 (unless otherwise protected) & Scope 2 identifiers of the *International Non-Governmental Organizations* are bulk added as a single list to the Trademark Clearinghouse | AG: SupportCAS: Support but in context of IDNs, what of the variants? |
| **7** | INGO Scope 1 (unless otherwise protected) & Scope 2 identifiers, if added to the TMCH, allowed to participate in 90 Day Claims Notification phase of each new gTLD launch | AG: SupportCAS: Support with caution as above |

**E - GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALL ORGANIZATIONS:**

| **#** | **Recommendation** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | The WG recommends that the respective policies are amended so that curative rights of the UDRP and URS can be used by those organizations that are granted protections based on their identified designations. | AG: SupportCAS: Support |

**F - RECOMMENDATIONS NOT RECEIVING ADEQUATE SUPPORT FOR ALL ORGANIZATIONS:**

| **#** | **Top & Second-Level** **Recommendations** | **Level of Support** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | Top-Level protections of Exact Match, Acronym identifiers are placed in Applicant Guidebook section 2.2.1.2.3, of the Applicant Guidebook, Strings "Ineligible for Delegation" (see option #4 for a variation of this) | AG: NoCAS: Not sure how this adds anything to previous. |
| **2** | IGO-INGO organizations be granted a fee waiver (or funding) for objections filed to applied-for gTLDs at the Top-Level | AG: SupportCAS: Including a rich IOC? How about means-testing before waiver? |
| **3** | Second-Level protections of Exact Match, Acronym identifiers are placed in Specification 5 of Registry Agreement | AG: NoCAS: Support |
| **4** | IGO-INGOs allowed to participate in Sunrise phase of each new gTLD launch | AG: SupportCAS: Support |
| **5** | Fee waivers or reduced pricing (or limited subsidies) for registering into the Trademark Clearinghouse the identifiers of IGO-INGO organizations | AG: Support, BUT ONLY IF OTHER TMCH users do not pay for this sudsidyCAS: Support with your provision + means-testing. |
| **6** | IGO-INGOs allowed to participate in permanent Claims Notification of each gTLD launch | AG: Only if applicable to TMs as wellCAS: Support |
| **7** | Fee waivers or reduced pricing for IGO-INGOs filing a URS or UDRP action | AG: NoCAS: Support |